The problem of stability in bryophyte nomenclature.

by Lars Hedénäs

Swedish Museum of Natural History, Dept of Cryptogamic Botany
Box 50007, S-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden

Ecology Column. Send contributions to the column editor: Janice M. Glime, Department of Biological Sciences, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931, U.S.A.

In The Bryological Times no. 68/69 (1992) a discussion was started by Janice Glime concerning the problems with changing names of bryophytes (the same problem exists with other organisms as well). That is, in the first place the problems perceived, I presume, by non-taxonomists.

In a comment by George Scott in the same issue of The Bryological Times, the problems are divided into two groups, those depending on the nomenclature rules and those related to taxonomic research. I will address the second part of the problem, giving a strongly biased taxonomists view.

To my mind, the main aim of taxonomic research is to add to the understanding of the biological world, and then especially the phylogeny of the different organisms that are known. There are not, and should not be, considerations of any other kind than the scientific ones when trying to reconstruct the relationships between the taxa you work with. The groups of taxa you find, must then be named in a way which reflects their phylogenetic relationships. Neither the grouping nor the naming (which is a way of stating your theory of the relationships between the taxa) can be made with the main purpose of conserving nomenclature. Anyone arguing against this view should consider what would happen if this should not be the general way of reasoning in science. How many ecologists or physiologists would like to work with the aim (restriction) of trying to formulate their theories in a way which does not violate earlier perceptions of the world. This would be a sure way to conserve old ideas and would certainly not benefit the development of these sciences. The same is of course also valid for the science of taxonomy. It is just as impossible for taxonomy as for any other science to progress without developing theories (partly reflected in a changing nomenclature). A quite different matter is the question of bad and good taxonomic science, which I think George Scott hints at in the last two paragraphs in his comment, but that is a general problem in all sciences. The "problem" with taxonomy is that other disciplines in biology are, at least to some degree, dependent on the nomenclature of the organisms they work with. If nothing else, the problems stated by Janice Glime in trying to find old references regarding a species, do exist. On the other hand taxonomists are also dependent on the other sciences in their work, while all kinds of characters should ideally be considered in good taxonomy, not only morphological ones. I would be more than happy if these sciences did not change too fast, but I would not dream of suggesting that they should adapt to my needs. Taxonomy has finally, during the last decades or so, due to theoretical developments, begun to work in a more scientific way than earlier, so that testable hypotheses are nowadays produced to a large degree, rather than revealed truth. This has lead to new ideas about the relationships between different bryophyte taxa and will probably lead to even more radically new theories about the relationships between diffe-
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rent bryophyte taxa in the future. Unfortunately a lot of changes in the nomenclature follows from these new insights, but since the predictability of the systems probably increases as taxonomic research continues, this drawback may be well compensated for.

So, let's turn to the problems faced by the users of the results of the taxonomists' research. If we disregard the problems which are due to bad science, which need not be discussed in this context, the only viable way to solve this seems to be some kind of Index Muscorum and Index Hepaticorum with all names having cross-references to all their (possible) synonyms. I would suggest that in such an index, no nomenclature should be selected as "the correct one", leaving this choice to the users judgement. Since checklists will hardly become redundant even if an index of the kind suggested should be produced, the user can follow either his/her own opinion or a checklist in which name to put on a species. In these days of computers, it should be possible to have an annually (or bi-annually, or three-annually) updated index available for everyone interested in bryophytes. It would be preferable to have this index computer-based, since this allows faster and more efficient search than if it is printed.

Collections of living bryophytes

I have kept a collection of live mosses and hepatics here since the 1960s. The collection, as it existed in 1991, was described in my "Moss Grower's Handbook", but there have been substantial changes since then. There are now many more "mounted" cultures (growing on a very thin layer of substrate), and hepatics, especially oceanic ones, are better represented, and in better condition. The priority remains, as always, to grow the plants. I do not wish to be distracted from this by any other project, though such a collection can obviously provide live material (usually in small amounts) or opportunities for a lot of research. Anyone wanting material of almost any British species is welcome to contact me.

The strangest thing is that this collection remains apparently unique in its size and in the variety of bryophytes being grown. It is disappointing that after so many years so few other bryologists yet share this interest.

For comparison I also have a collection of similar size, of cacti, succulents and house plants. In Reading alone, I know seven live collections of cacti at least as large and successful as my own, (there may be others) and in Britain as a whole there are probably 5,000-10,000 substantial collections of cacti and succulents, most of them grown for pleasure and interest, with no scientific pretensions. It would be thought bizarre and outrageous for the discoverer of a new species of cactus to keep only a herbarium specimen, and not a live plant. Anyone ignorant of bryology would deduce that live bryophytes are over 1,000 times more difficult to keep than cacti, or 1,000 times less interesting.

It seems likely that some who have kept mosses or hepatics alive do not publicise them. In 1971-73 I collected details of other living collections which were published in Bulletin no. 24 of the British Bryological Society, in 1974. That list is probably now completely out of date. I would like again to hear from anyone maintaining live bryophytes, and to compile another guide to living collections, to be available to IAB members, and to be regularly updated.

It would not be very practical to offer a complete list of my own cultures. I can offer lists for particular genera, comments on any plant I have grown, or annotated cultural checklists (British or Southern Hemisphere) to anyone sending stamps or return postage vouchers. I would also be pleased to consider acquiring live material of non-British spp. of especial interest, on a selective basis.

Michael V. Fletcher, 70 South Street, Reading, Berkshire RG1 4RA, United Kingdom
Present Research on Population Biology of Bryophytes in the Nordic Countries

by Lars Söderström & Sanna Laaka

Ecology Column. Send contributions to the column editor: Janice M. Gline, Department of Biological Sciences, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931, U.S.A.

Bryophytes have always played an important role in vegetation research in the Nordic countries (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden), especially in mire systems and in forest systems. Traditionally, bryophytes have been used as indicator species and considered to be an important part in vegetation analysis. This is also important today but in recent years, also population and community ecology studies on bryophytes have resulted.

We would like to present bryocological projects in the Nordic countries dealing with population biology and conservation biology. We are only dealing with projects that we think are of direct interest for bryology and if any project is missing, it is either because we consider it to be of only minor interest for bryology (although of major interest as an ecological project) or that we do not know about it. There are also several persons studying Sphagnum ecology, e.g. production and nutrient ecology. This research is in many cases intergrading towards peatland ecology. We are not presenting these projects since we think that people involved in them will do that much better. Also some ecophysiological projects are disregarded for this reason.

Theoretical and basic research on population biology is done by several groups in the Nordic Countries, mostly concentrating on dynamic communities. This is often also combined with more applied conservation aspects, especially on boreal forest bryophytes.

Bryophyte communities in boreal forests

A lot of the bryocological research in the Nordic countries is done within forest systems and especially with species on decaying wood. In Norway, Tommy Prestø (Trondheim) is studying bryophyte communities on decaying logs in cooperation with Arne A. Frisvoll and Kjell I. Flatberg. They are focusing on threatened species in different types of forest vegetation and are trying to identify the critical parameters for them. Lars Söderström (Trondheim, Norway) has investigated the bryophyte and lichen flora on decaying logs in northern Sweden and related the occurrences to decay stage and other parameters of rotting wood. Sanna Laaka (Helsinki, Finland) is studying the structure and diversity of epiphytic bryophyte communities. She is also, in cooperation with some mycologists, studying the effects of the decay process on epiphytic hepatics. Bengt Gunnar Jonsson (Umeå, Sweden) also works in forest systems and studies the role of disturbance on the forest floor communities. He has shown that patches disturbed by uprooted trees have a much higher number of species than the surrounding forest floor (Jonsson & Esseen 1990). Those uprooted patches are rapidly recolonized and Jonsson has started to study the recolonization process by experimentally disturbing the forest floor.

Modern silvicultural methods have a great impact on boreal forest communities, including bryophyte communities. Therefore, several projects investigate the effects of forestry on bryophytes to survive in the managed landscape today. In Finland, Sanna Laaka has made a survey of threatened forest bryophytes (Laaka 1992) and she is studying the requirements of some of the species. She is also comparing the bryophyte diversity and composition in natural and managed forests in southern Finland. Tommy Prestø is trying to identify the critical parameters that are essential for the survival of threatened bryophytes in central Norway. Important here is analysis of the effects of fragmentation, i.e. the decrease and isolation of populations, and the changes in habitat quality as a result of modern forestry. Lars Söderström and Bengt Gunnar Jonsson are also studying the possibility for species to survive in a region with localities heavily fragmented. They are trying to explore the possibility to survive in managed forests and to identify species demanding old-growth forests. Substrate availability and moisture regimes seem to be critical (Söderström 1989, Söderström & Jonsson 1992). Most of the work is, however, on a more theoretical level of metapopulation studies.

Another environmental threat to bryophytes is acid precipitation. Kjell I. Flatberg and Arne A. Frisvoll
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Lars Söderström is studying the possibility for species to survive in habitats which have decreased in area and been fragmented into several small pieces. The possibility for a regional survival in areas with many small habitat fragments is thus dependent on the recolonization of localities, i.e. on the dispersal ability. The more often a species faces local extinction, the more dependent is it on dispersal. Dispersal contains several steps: diaspore production, transport to new sites, and establishment, and all steps are studied. An analysis by Herben et al. (1990) shows that transport and establishment is most crucial. Studies on spore transport meets a lot of practical problems. How can one record the movement of a small spore from a capsule to a new locality several km away? It is known that spores are present in the atmosphere and that they are transported over long distances. But, how many are transported as long as or longer than the average distance between suitable localities? One attempt to approach it is to develop a chain of circumstantial evidence. First, the production of diasporas can be studied. Secondly, the number of spores deposited close to the mother patch can be calculated (Söderström & Jonsson 1990). The number of spores produced minus the number deposited in the immediate vicinity, gives a figure on the number of spores involved in the distance dispersal at the beginning. Experiments on this are going on. Another way to study transport may be to study the deposition rate at the locality. To calculate the input rate, one must be able to identify the species that the spores belong to, and one must know the sources of them (at least the nearest possible sources). Experimentation on establishment ability (i.e. the proportion of the spores that have established after reaching a suitable habitat) is easier. Sowing experiments in different concentrations in the field will give figures on that. Herben et al. (1990) noted that the survival of a species is improved if the establishment ability varies between years. Experiments on this have been started but there are yet no results.

Sanna Laakso is also studying bryophytes on decaying logs, especially hepatics. Her aim is to study distribution patterns, population dynamics,
and reproduction ecology of bryophytes on temporary substrates. In cooperation with some Finnish mycologists she has started studies on the effects of the decay process of wood on the epiphytic hepatic species and on the structure of epiphytic communities. She has also started transplantation experiments moving logs with epiphytic communities into different kinds of managed forests.
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**Gophering for botanical data**

_by Jan-Peter Frahm_

**Computer techniques column.** Send contributions to the column editors: Jan-Peter Frahm, Universität Duisburg, Fachbereich 6, Botanik, D-47048 Duisburg, Germany, or Brian O'Shea, 141 Fawnbrake Avenue, London SE24 0BG, UK

For most readers, gophers are rodents. However, computer gophers are interactive client-server systems to evaluate data on mainframe computers. If data are stored on a mainframe, a computer user from any other part of the world has usually only been able to access the data by using the `ftp` command, i.e. by transferring the entire file onto your own machine. If the foreign host permits, you log in with 'anonymous' as usercode and 'ftp' as password and directories and subdirectories can be displayed and texts or programs can be downloaded. However, text files cannot be displayed before downloading. There is, however, a need to read texts and to search for data before downloading, e.g. in bibliographies, type catalogues, herbarium catalogues etc.

To fulfill this requirement, some hosts have developed their own software solutions, e.g. SYSTAX for botanical purposes at the university of Ulm, Germany. SYSTAX can be reached through the telnet command (IP number is 134.60.65.1). It is menu driven and has database capabilities allowing e.g. queries on an SQL level. Anyone who is interested in testing SYSTAX may apply for a login and password from: systax@spezbot.biologie.uni-ulm.de.

When used in this way, client-server programs must be programmed specifically for each use, and thus require some expertise. It would be much easier to have common programs for this purpose with a common standard.

Recently, various public domain programs have been developed for this purpose, called gophers. A gopher consists of a client and a server. The word gopher is derived from "go for" (data and information in a foreign host) but implies digging in the data like a gopher. The client is started by the user, it accesses the server which provides the data to be evaluated. The usage is quite simple: the command "gopher huh.harvard.edu 70" starts the gopher client and accesses the Harvard university computer through a special port which is usually port 70. Harvard will then display a list of facilities, e.g. to read the last issues of "Flora Online", the "Bean bag", or to search for type specimens in the catalogue of the Gray Herbarium. In addition, files can also be downloaded. This is no more than bulletin board systems on PCs were already offering years ago, e.g. Taxacom by Richard Zander. But gophers allow this concept to be realized on a mainframe computer with enormous masses of data. Therefore the use of gopher programs has jumped from place 168 in the mainframe computer applications to place 16 in spring 1993.

All you need is a gopher client program. Gopher clients can be obtained free from the author, but since there are several software solutions of gopher programs it is rather complicated to install such a program and to adapt it to your mainframe, so it is best to ask the computer department of your university or the system operator of your host, who will give detailed instructions for use.

Many universities and other institutions have now installed gopher servers and therefore there is a enormous mass of data available. It ranges from the weather forecast for Texas, the weather map of Tasmania, directories of universities, etc. So far, the use for botanists is not overwhelming, but will surely increase within the near future. The best gopher for botanical information seems to be huh.harvard.

---

*Jan-Peter Frahm, Universität Duisburg, Fachbereich 6, Botanik, D-47048 Duisburg, Germany.*
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This is the third fascicle in a series mapping the distribution of the bryophytes of the Iberian Peninsula, the Balearic Islands and Macaronesia (excluding the Cape Verde Islands). The style of this part is the same as in the previous one, and the quality of the printing is high. Among the fifty taxa mapped, the following could be mentioned: ten species of Racomitrium (R. macounii with two varieties), five species of Thuidium (Abietinella abietina, with two varieties is included in this genus), three Pogonatum species, two species of Riccia, two of Scapania and four of Sphagnum sect. Sphagnum. It is nice to see that new parts of this fundamental work appear at reasonable intervals. Anyone with a serious interest in the bryophytes of the geographical area treated will need a set of this work. [L.H.]

New column: News from the Herbaria

News about what happens at the herbaria is important to many researchers. From this issue onwards, Dr. A. R. Perry at the National Museums of Wales will take care of a new Bryological Times Column, "News from the Herbaria", with information about all kinds of changes occurring at bryophyte herbaria all over the world. Anyone having relevant information about developments, staffings, contents, etc. are welcome to send their contributions to the Column Editor, Dr. A. R. Perry, National Museum of Wales, Cardiff, CF1 3NP, Wales, United Kingdom.

E. W. Jones’ Bryophyte Herbarium

News from the Herbaria. Send contributions to the column editor: A. R. Perry, Department of Botany, National Museum of Wales, Cardiff, CF1 3NP, Wales, United Kingdom.

The African bryophyte herbarium of the late Eustace W. Jones, Kirtlington, Oxford, England, was bequeathed in 1992 to the Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh (E). The collections comprised 57 Anthocerotae, 5948 Hepaticae and 277 Musci (a total of 7282 specimens) all named to species. In addition there are several hundred unsorted and unidentified packets (many named to genus). For African Hepaticae this is perhaps one of the most important collections ever assembled, including types of most taxa described in his series "African Hepatics" published between 1952 and 1992 in the Transactions of the British Bryological Society and Journal of Bryology. The collection is especially rich in Lejeuneaceae, one of his specialist areas of research. Many of the specimens, especially types, are duplicated in the herbarium of the Natural History Museum, London (BM). The collection includes duplicates supplied by many other collectors of African Hepaticae, especially P. W. Richards and T. Pocs, and includes material from the Mascarene Islands.

His manuscript notes and annotated reprints relating to African Hepaticae have also come to Edinburgh. The herbarium has now been fully incorporated by Ms Jenny Wright and is available for study.

Dr Jones’ British and European collections were bequeathed to the National Museum of Wales, Cardiff (NMW). The named collection comprises 21 Anthocerotae, 3962 Hepaticae and 5639 Musci (a total of 9622 specimens). In addition there are several hundred unsorted and unidentified specimens. The collection is rich in British and Irish specimens (especially from Oxfordshire, Berkshire, Cornwall, South Wales, Cumbria, the Cairngorms and Kerry) but there is a wide spread of the collections throughout the British Isles. He made frequent excursions to Europe, often with Forestry students, and collected specimens in many different countries. France was visited most often with numerous collections from about 15 visits (1938-74). Switzerland is represented by collections from about six visits (1934-57). In addition there are collections from single visits to the following countries: Algeria (1953), Austria (1964), Belgium (1955), Corsica (1950), Iceland (1934), Italy (1968), Netherlands (1951), Portugal (1960), Spain (1933) and Sweden (1963).

Jones’ own British and European collections are supplemented by many packets from correspondents such as A. C. Crudwell, P. W. Richards and E. C. Wallace.

The E. W. Jones herbarium at NMW is available for study.

David G. Long, Head of Bryology, Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, EH3 5LR, U.K.

Correction:

IAB member list

The list of IAB members on file is available from Jan-Peter Frahm, Universität Duisburg, Fachbereich 6, Botanik, D-47048 Duisburg, Germany, by sending him a blank 3.5" disk. The earlier information that it is available for the cost of a disk is not correct. The list of IAB members has also been distributed through BRYONET.
Gophering (cont'd from p. 6)
edu (155.187.10.12), offering access to the herbarium and arboretum collection catalogues, to directories of biologists (both with a search function), and even software. Programs, such as the Herbarium Curator Toolkit for the Macintosh, MVSP, Delta or Clinch can be downloaded just by clicking. Gopher. nhm.ic.ac.uk provides taxonornical data on 29,000 species of Scrophulariaceae. The National Science Foundation has a gopher, as has the Australian National Botanic Gardens. As yet there are no specific bryological data available, but it is planned to make the IBIS data at the university of Duisburg accessible by a gopher server.

Obituary: Prof. Dr. Vladimir J. Krajina

Professor Vladimir Krajina died on May 31, 1993, at the age of 88, in Vancouver. Born on January 30, 1905 in Slavice near Trebic (now Czech Republic), he received his doctorate degree from Charles University in Prague in 1927. Dr. Krajina moved to the Department of Botany at the University of British Columbia in Vancouver in 1949 where he started as a Special Lecturer. He became Assistant Professor in 1951, Associate Professor in 1954 and Full Professor in 1958. He retired in 1973 but continued his scientific work.

Besides contributions in many other fields Vladimir J. Krajina published two new species of bryophytes in the Bryologist. The first was Dicranella hutchinsonii (Krajina, 1950) which was collected near a 'cultivated forest near the university campus'. This species has been reduced to a synonym of Dicranella rufescens (With.) Schimper, according to Lawton (1971). The second species was Pellia columbiana (Krajina 1951), which was collected in the 'forest near the University of Columbia'. Schuster (1981) gave this taxon the status of a subspecies of P. neesiana, a view which he later (Schuster 1992) maintained.

References
Gillis Een, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Dept of Crypto-
gamic Botany, Box 50007, S-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden

Nordic Bryological Society's Annual meeting and Excursion on Gotland

The 1993 Annual Meeting and Excursion of the Nordic Bryological Society was arranged on Gotland 17-20 June. The bryophyte flora of the island is relatively badly known. Most of the interesting species were found during the 1800's by S. O. Lindberg and J. E. Zetterstedt and the later additions (until the 1980's) were made mainly by B. Pettersson.

The first day was mainly spent on the NW part of the island. In a swampy forest, the first new species for Gotland, Plagiachila asplenoides, was found. At the end of the day, a locality for Trochobryum carniolicum, was visited near Visby. The second day was spent on the southern end of the island (Hoburgen area) where we searched for Pleurochaete squarrosa, only found by Zetterstedt in 1850's, without result. During the third day we visited Thorsbergen where Zetterstedt found, among other things, Loeskeobryum brevirostre. This species was refound some years ago on the locality, but last year a large forest fire went over the area, leaving only small parts of the wall intact. We were still able to find many of the interesting species but no trace of Loeskeobryum. The last day was spent on the NE part of the island looking at shores, wet calcareous fens and alvar ground.

The annual meeting was held on the evening of the 19th. It accepted for the second time the new statutes and the new organisation around Lindbergia. This means that the reconstruction of the society continues. There have been some problems during the reconstruction period but both NBS and Lindbergia are now working. Vol. 17 of Lindbergia is distributed and vol. 18 is in production. From 1994 the dues for NBS and Lindbergia (vol. 19) will be collected together and in time for individual subscribers. The bill will be sent out around the end of this year/beginning of next. In the meantime, members who have not yet paid vol. 18 will get a bill for this within short. [LS]

New computer programs
by Jan-Peter Frahm

Computer techniques column. Send contributions to the column editors: Jan-Peter Frahm, Universität Duisburg, Fachbereich 6, Botanik, D-47048 Duisburg, Germany, or Brian O'Shea, 141 Fawnpark Avenue, London SE24 0BG, UK

VMP V. 1.2 (shareware)

Displays world maps or part of them in various different projections (Mercator, Equirectangular, Equidistant, Lambert etc.) in different designs (with political boundaries, islands, lakes, rivers). It is possible to add text and signatures, prints to laser or need
le printer, and saves maps in different graphics formats.

CARTE.FON (J.-P. Frahm)
An ordinary ATM Courier font, in which the keys for 0-9 are replaced by special signatures for grid mapping (see example). The signatures can be used to express the different abundance of a species (different sizes) or different collecting periods (dots vs. circles). The data input within a database is simply made by pressing the numeric keys, the graphical output will show the signatures. The font includes additionally symbols for female, male and bisexual for indication of the sex of specimens on herbarium labels. Adobe Type Manager required.

FLORKART (Subal/May)
A Clipper compiled program for a German mapping project. For data input from field lists and herbarium specimens and output of postscript maps. In German and specifically designed for a German mapping project, it may not be of much use outside Germany but gives an idea of a possible software solution.

CLINCH (K.L. Fiala)
A compiled FORTRAN Program for cladistic interference. Reads a datamatrix prepared with a texteditor and writes cladogram to disk.

The programs can be downloaded from IBIS (134.91.4.5), Usercode and password can be obtained from hh216fr@uni-dui-uni-duisburg.de) or ordered on disk.

The use of "cf." - a useless tradition?

The use of the small abbreviation "cf." is widely accepted in taxonomy. This is usually applied when the identifier wishes to express that he feels unsure of the result of his identification. "Bryum cf. elegans" will mean that the specimen is similar to B. elegans but does not match this species totally. It may be an extreme expression or could even be a different taxon. Such a tentative determination makes sense, because the specimen can then be filed in the herbarium, and even if it is not this species, it is probably filed under a related species. If material is asked for loan for a monograph or revision, it will get into the hands of a specialist. The use of "cf." for this purpose has such a long tradition that hardly anybody will doubt it. But what does it really mean? For a long time I translated it myself as "cum falso", which means with doubt. This would be in accordance with the common usage of this abbreviation. This seems, however, not to be in accordance with dictionaries. According to German dictionaries, cf. is the abbreviation for Latin confer, which means compare! If cf. is used for a doubtful identifications, it doesn't make much sense to express this with "cf.". "Bryum cf. elegans" would say: Bryum compare with elegans. If I had named the specimen as Bryum cf. elegans, I would have already compared it with B. elegans and just for this reason I would be expressing the view that it does not fit exactly the circumscription of that species but might be something else. "Cf." in this original sense could be written on a collecting bag as a suggestion for the determination: compare with elegans. On a final herbarium label, this abbreviation is wrong. What else? The most straightforward solution would be to use a question mark: Bryum ?elegans to express the tentative character of the identification. If one is sure that it is Bryum elegans but an unusual expression, the addition of something like "fo." to the species name would suggest this. It would make more sense to use "cf." for bibliographic references, e.g. (cf. Smith 1990) would mean compare my statement with Smith, who will support this view.

DIARY (contd.)

September 4-9. International Symposium: Endangered Bryophytes II, together with the meeting of the European Committee of Conservation of Bryophytes, Zürich. For information contact: E. Urmi, Inst. für Systematische Botanik, Zollikonstr. 107, CH-8008 Zürich. Phone: (41) 1 385.44.41. Fax: (41) 1 385 42 04.

September 4-9. International Symposium: Endangered Bryophytes II, together with the meeting of the European Committee of Conservation of Bryophytes, Zürich. For information contact: E. Urmi, Inst. für Systematische Botanik, Zollikonstr. 107, CH-8008 Zürich. Phone: (41) 1 385.44.41. Fax: (41) 1 385 42 04.

September 10-11. Excursion to Schonen (the Netherlands) with the Dutch Bryological and Lichenological Society to look at coastal dunes. Contact Dr. A. Aptom (address see 11-12 Sept. 1993)

October 2-8. VI Congreso Latinoamericano de Botanica to be held at Mar del Plata, Argentina. Further information from Celina M. Matteri, Museo Arg. Cs Nat. B. Rivadavia, Av Angel Gallardo 470, C.C. 220, 1405 Buenos Aires, Argentina. Fax: (54) 1 9824494.

1995

A symposium organized by the International Association of Lichenologists, dealing with foliicolous cryptogams is planned to take place in Hungary during spring or summer 1995. Anyone interested in further details should contact Edit Farkas, Institute of Ecology and Botany, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, H-2163, Hungary (Fax: 36 27 60 110). E-mail H7483FAR@ELLA.HU

Jan-Peter Frahm, Universität Duisburg, Fachbereich 6, Botanik, D-47048 Duisburg, Germany
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